1 2 3 4 5 6	THOMAS V. CHRISTOPHER (SBN#18592 Thomas@ThomasChristopherLaw.com THE LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS V. CHI 555 California Street, Suite 4925 San Francisco, California 94104 Telephone: (415) 659-1805 Facsimile: (415) 659-1950  Attorney for Defendant- Counterclaim Plaintiff STEVEN KIM	28) RISTOPHER				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION					
11		I				
12	SECOND MEASURE, INC., a Delaware corporation,	CASE NO.: 3:15-ev-03395-JCS				
13 14	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT AND				
15	v.	COUNTERCLAIM PLAINTIFF STEVEN KIM'S PROPOSED				
16	STEVEN KIM, an individual,	VERDICT FORM				
17	Defendant.	Judge: Hon. Joseph C. Spero Pretrial Conf.: May 19, 2017				
18		Time: 2:00 p.m.				
19		Courtroom: G, 15 <sup>th</sup> Floor Trial Date: June 1, 2017				
20		Tital Date. Julie 1, 2017				
21						
22	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.					
23						
24						
25 26						
26						
28						
-0						

CASE NO.: 3:15-CV-03395-JCS

MR. KIM'S PROPOSED VERDICT FORM

## 

1	Defendant and counterclaim plaintiff Steven Kim respectfully submits this separate					
2	verdict form pursuant to Item III.6. of the Court's November 2, 2016 Case Management					
3	and Pretrial Order.					
4						
5	Respectfully submitted,					
6						
7	DATED: April 18, 2017					
8	THE LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS V. CHRISTOPHER					
9	By: <u>/s/ Thomas Christopher</u> THOMAS CHRISTOPHER					
10						
11	thomas@thomaschristopherlaw.com Attorney for Defendant and Counterclaim-plaintiff STEVEN KIM					
12	STEVEN KIM					
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
- 1	1					

-1-

1 2	GENERAL VERDICT WITH WRITTEN QUESTIONS				
3	GENERAL VERDICT WITH WRITTEN QUESTIONS				
4					
5	Question No. 1: Do you find that Mr. Kim and Mr. Babineau were partners?				
6	<u></u>				
7	Yes No				
8					
9	If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 1, go to Question Number 2. If you				
10	answered No you can skip Questions 2 through 6 below.				
11					
12	Question No. 2: Do you find that Ms. Chou was also a partner of Mr. Kim and Mr.				
13	Babineau, meaning all three were partners of each other?				
14					
15	Yes No				
16					
17	Question No. 3: Do you find that Mr. Babineau breached any fiduciary duty owed				
18	to Mr. Kim?				
19					
20	Yes No				
21					
22	If you answered Yes to Question 3, what is the amount of damages suffered by Mr.				
23	Kim as a result of Mr. Babineau's breach of fiduciary duty? \$				
24					
25	Question No. 4: If you answered Yes to Question No. 3, do you find that Ms. Chou				
	aided or abetted a breach of fiduciary duty by Mr. Babineau?				
27					
28	Yes No				
	MR. KIM'S PROPOSED VERDICT FORM  CASE NO.: 3:15-CV-03395-JCS				
	IVIK. KIIVI 5 FROPUSED VERDICI FURIVI CASE NU.: 3.13-CV-U3393-JCS				

1						
2	Question No. 5: If you answered Yes to Question No. 3, do you find that Second					
3	Measure, Inc., aided or abetted that breach of fiduciary duty?					
4						
5	Yes No					
6						
7	Question No. 6: If you answered Yes to Question No. 3, do you find that Second					
8	Measure, Inc., is vicariously liable for Mr. Babineau's breach of fiduciary duty?					
9						
10	Yes No					
11						
12	Question No. 7: Do you find that Mr. Babineau engaged in a conversion of property					
13	rightfully belonging to Mr. Kim?					
14						
15	Yes No					
16						
17	If Yes, what was the amount of damages Mr. Kim suffered as a result of that					
18	conversion? \$					
19						
20	Question No. 8: Do you find that Ms. Chou engaged in a conversion of property					
21						
22						
23	Yes No					
24						
25	If Yes, what was the amount of damages Mr. Kim suffered as a result of that					
26	conversion? \$					
27						
28	property rightfully belonging to Mr. Kim?					
	-3-					

## Case 3:15-cv-03395-JCS Document 63 Filed 04/19/17 Page 5 of 6 1 \_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_ No 2 3 If Yes, what was the amount of damages Mr. Kim suffered as a result of that conversion? \$ 6 7 Question No. 10: Do you find that Mr. Kim entered into a consulting agreement with Second Measure, Inc? 9 Yes No 10 11 12 **Question No. 11:** If you answered Yes to Question No. 10, do you find that Mr. Kim breached that consulting agreement? 13 14 \_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_ No 15 16 If you answered Yes, what amount of damages did Second Measure Inc., suffer as a 17 result of that breach? \$ ... 19 20 Question No. 12: If you answered No to Question No. 1, do you find that Mr. Kim provided valuable services to Mr. Babineau for which he was never paid? 22 \_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_ No 23 24 25 If Yes, what was the reasonable value of those services? \$ 26 Thank you for your service. Please sign this verdict form on the line below. 27 28

-4-

	Case 3:15-cv-03395-JCS	Document 63	Filed 04/19/17	Page 6 of 6
1				
2	Date			Foreperson
3	Butt			Totoperson
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19 20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	MR. KIM'S PROPOSED VERDICT FORM	-5-		CASE NO.: 3:15-CV-03395-JCS